Statement-I

National Tuberculosis Control Programme Expenditure during VII Five Year Plan Period (1985-86 to 1989-90)

Sl. No.	Name of the State/ Union Territory	Total expenditure for 1985-86 to 1989-90
A.	**STATES**	
1.	Andhra Pradesh	267.93
2.	Anunachal Pradesh	28.56
3.	Assam	158.59
4.	Bihar	346.62
5.	Goa	16.52
6.	Gujarat	453.47
7.	Haryana	135.40
8.	Himachal Pradesh	94.47
9.	Jammu & Kashmir	91.78
10.	Karnataka	280.26
11.	Kerala	162.65
12.	Madhya Pradesh	479.40
13.	Maharashtra	681.36
14.	Manipur	35.99
15.	Meghalaya	18.69
16.	Mizoram	13.70
17.	Nagaland	22.41
18.	Orissa	163.26
19.	Punjab	162.42
20.	Rajasthan	252.86
21.	Sikkim	7.07
22.	Tamil Nadu	401.34
23.	Tripura	28.95
24.	Uttar Pradesh	815.43
25.	West bengal	471.18
	Sub-Total	**5590.31**
B.	**UNION TERRITORY (WITH LEGISLATURE)**	**0.00**
26.	Pondicherry	32.42
C.	**UNION TERRITORY (WITHOUT LEGISLATURE)**	**0.00**
27.	A & N Islands	8.49
28.	Chandigarh	11.15
29.	D & N haveli	2.26
30.	Dilhi	335.34
31.	Daman & Diu	7.17
32.	Lakshadweep	2.68

Statement-II

National Tuberculosis Control Programme Expenditure during VIII Five Year Plan Period (1992-93 to 1996-97)

Sl. No.	State /UTs	Expenditure
1.	Andhra Pradesh	1006.85
2.	Anunachal Pradesh	55.33
3.	Assam	284.76
4.	Bihar	728.92
5.	Goa	37.64
6.	Gujarat	911.16
7.	Haryana	218.53
8.	Himachal Pradesh	156.31
9.	Jammu & Kashmir	166.33
10.	Karnataka	560.48
11.	Kerala	368.81
12.	Madhya Pradesh	783.50
13.	Maharashtra	2163.48
14.	Manipur	31.72
15.	Meghalaya	36.55
16.	Mizoram	40.81
17.	Nagaland	29.53
18.	Orissa	380.59
19.	Punjab	203.75
20.	Rajasthan	630.06
21.	Sikkim	20.15
22.	Tamil Nadu	660.31
23.	Tripura	39.74
24.	Uttar Pradesh	1633.27
25.	West Bengal	995.25
26.	Pondicherry	17.91
27.	A & N Islands	22.15
28.	Chandigarh	8.04
29.	D & N havcli	4.58
30.	Delhi	340.22
31.	Daman & Diu	2.42
32.	Lakshadweep	1.11

Strike by CGHS Employees Association

964. SHRI MOHINDAR SINGH KALYAN: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that at the call of All India CGHS Employees Assication, an indefinite strike took place in front of Nirman Bhavan in New Delhi

from 4th June, 1997; if so, the reasons therefor;

(b) what are the details of their demands alongwith the reaction of Government;

(c) whether all the terms of agreement were strictly implemented;

(d) if not, the reasons for non-implementation; and

(e) whether instructions have been issued for immediate implementation of the said agreement; if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY): (a) to (e) The employees of the CGHS were on strike from 4.6.97 to 9.6.1997. Their demands related to certain recommendations of the Fifth Central Pay Commission *inter-alia* covering abolition of vacant posts, split duty hours in CGHS, removal of anomalies in pay-scales of various catergories, opening of CGHS own hospitals, etc.

As the recommendations of the Pay Commission were still under process at that time, the Association was informed that their concerns regarding working condition, etc., would be sympathetically projected at the appropriate stage and that the strike should be called off as patients care service, which was the primary concern of the CGHS would be jeopardised.

The various recommendations of the Pay Commission are under consideration.

Shortage of Para-Medical Staff in CGHS Homocopathic Units

965. SHRI MOHINDER SINGH KALYAN: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether all CGHS Homocopathic units in Delhi are not functioning properly due to shortage of para-medical staff and non availability of substitutes;

(b) whether the dispensing counters and stores of CGHS Homocopathic units are not properly and alphabatically groupwise arranged, which creates lot of difficulties to the substitute pharmacists and beneficiaries;

(c) whether Government are considering to upgrade the standard of these units by posting para-medical staff; and

(d) if so, by when; if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY): (a) and (b) As per information available, there is no shortage of para-medical staff and the Homocopathic units are generally functioning properly. The store and dispensing counters are normally arranged alphabetically and group-wise. No difficulty if any, faced by the staff, has been reported.

(c) and (d) Although upgradation of some Homocopathy units had been envisaged in the 9th Five Year Plan, the Plan is yet to be finalised. Hence, the upgradation would depend on the resources made available and also the requirement, feasibility and availability of manpower.

Financial Grant on Birth of a Girl Child

966. DR. ALLADI P. RAJKUMAR: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have approved a scheme to grant Rs. 500 in case of birth of a girl child to parents who are living below the poverty line; and

(b) if so, the details of the scheme?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY): (a) and (b) This scheme has been launched by the Department of Women and Child Development. According to the information given by the Department of Women and Child Development, under